

Stored with zero access encryption

Re: your E-mail to Jeff Bezos - Re: Information - notice of intertest, 2nd notice.

Sent: Thursday, January 3, 2019 4:39 PM

From: MasterBDR MasterBDR@protonmail.com

To: ecr-replies+ACTCPF88RRA3A@amazon.com ecr-replies+ACTCPF88RRA3A@amazon.com

master's "superior court" known all by the presents

today's date: January 3, 2019

default date: February 3, 2019

private

notice to agent is notice to principal. notice to principal is notice to agent. notice to public. public notice.

this is a self executing contract. silence is acquiescence, agreement, dishonor and estoppel. failure to respond is fatal.

notice of interest

to the man acting as Chief Executive Officer of and for AMAZON.COM INC; jeffrey preston bezos in private and unlimited capacity.

hereinafter: you, your, or yours.

regarding your online online store at <https://www.amazon.com>.

hereinafter: store.

from the self-aware living soul of god existing within the living flesh and blood man of nature sojourning earth, the land of god.

hereinafter: i, me, my or myself.

on and for the record let the record show

i am a true free sovereign being.

i am age of majority and competent to testify and do hereby testify before god as my witness that i make this declaration of my own free will act and deed to affirm all facts declared herein are true, correct, complete, and certain, not false or misleading and not hearsay, but are admissible as evidence in all courts of law.

being cognizant of penalty of perjury and with god as my witness, i do hereby affirm, depose, declare, decree, and say, every thing within this document is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help me god; my word is my bond.

plain statement of facts:

1. you unambiguously claim i am absolutely obligated to pay for things you sell at your store.
 - a. no man can contravene or contradict his own deed. the principal of estoppel by deed.
 - b. the claimant is always bound to prove: the burden of proof lies on him.
 - c. he who acts through another acts himself, [the acts of an agent are the acts of the principal.]
 - d. that which is the principal part of a thing is the thing itself.
 - e. no man can give that which he has not (value.)
 - f. no one is bound to an impossibility (give value.)

2. i can find no positive proof of verified facts of unambiguous evidence that i am obligated to pay for things you sell at your store, and i believe no such facts of evidence exist.
 - a. the burden of proof lies upon him who affirms, not on him who denies.
 - b. upon the one alleging, not upon him denying, rests the duty of proving.
 - c. it is in the nature of things, that he who denies a fact is not bound to prove it.
 - d. the presumption is always in favor of the one who denies.

3. if you can not prove i am obligated to pay for things you sell at your store then you can not obligate me to pay for them.
 - a. in the law of estoppel, "silence" implies the knowledge and an opportunity to act upon it.
 - b. consent makes the law. (a contract is law between the parties agreeing to be bound by it.)
 - c. what is proved by the record, ought not to be denied.

4. proof requires personal first-hand knowledge (not belief) supported with verified facts of unambiguous evidence.
 - a. what is not proved and what does not exist are the same; it is not a defect of the law, but of proof.
 - b. it is the same thing not to be as not to appear. not to appear is the same thing as not to be.
 - c. an ambiguous answer is to be taken against (is not to be construed in favor of) him who offers it.
 - d. an ambiguous contract is to be interpreted against the seller.

5. if you do not possess any positive proof of verified facts of unambiguous evidence that i am obligated to pay for things you sell at your store and you require me to pay for things i take from your store then you will be knowingly and willfully committing fraud and thereby waive all immunity and defenses forever thereafter.
 - a. Fraud, in the sense of a court of equity, properly includes all acts, omissions, and concealments which involve a breach of legal or equitable duty, trust, or confidence justly reposed, and are injurious to another, or by which an undue and unconscientious advantage is taken of another. 1 Story, Eq. Jur. § 187.
 - b. once a fraud, always a fraud. it is a fraud to conceal a fraud. fraud lies hid in general expressions. fraud is odious.

valid claim requirements.

6. your claim must be expressed in writing with your own wet ink signature and endorsement notarized under penalty of perjury and supported with positive proof of verified facts of unambiguous evidence that i am obligated to pay for things you sell at your store and conveyed to me within thirty days of receiving this document or it will be deemed forever hereafter a nullity.

7. if you are unwilling or unable to express your claim in writing with your own wet ink signature and endorsement notarized under penalty of perjury to affirm your claim is true, correct, complete and certain, then your claim will be deemed a nullity.

8. proofs are to be weighed not numbered; that is, the more worthy or credible are to be believed. [it doesn't matter how many men say something, because the word of god is superior to all. it does not matter how many people believe a lie, it's still a lie. and in a democracy, a lie is the truth].

9. he does contrary to the law what the law prohibits; he acts in fraud of the law who, the letter of the law being inviolate, uses the law contrary to its intention. Dig. 1, 3, 29

10. law is established for the benefit of man. the contract makes the law. **Truth, by whomever pronounced, is from God.**

i am not an expert in the law, however, i do know right from wrong. if there is any man damaged by statements herein, if he will inform me by facts i will sincerely make every effort to amend my ways. i hereby and herein reserve the right to amend and make amendments to this document as necessary in order that the truth may be ascertained and proceedings justly determined.

if the parties given notice be means of this document have information that would controvert and overcome this document please advise me in written affidavit form within thirty days from receipt hereof providing me with your counter affidavit proving with particularity, by stating all requisite actual evidentiary facts and all requisite actual law not merely the ultimate facts or conclusions of law, that this document statement is substantially and materially false sufficiently to change materially my status and factual declarations. your silence stands as consent to, and tacit approval of, the factual declarations herein being established as facts as a matter of law. **silence is acquiescence, agreement, dishonor and estoppel. failure to reply is fatal. he who does not deny, admits.**

agreements give the law to the contract. the agreement of the parties makes the law of the contract. the agreement of the parties overcomes or prevails against the law. the contract makes the law. agreement takes the place of the law: the express understanding of parties supersede such understanding as the law would imply. in the agreements of the contracting parties, the rule is to regard the intention rather than the words.

i reserve all god given dominion heretofore and forever hereafter surrender none.

true ambassador of god known as: master ; true living soul of god.

true free sovereign man .

"silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal and moral duty to speak, or where an injury left unanswered would be intentionally misleading." u.s. v. tweel, 550 f.2d 297,299 (1977), quoting u.s. v. prudden, 424 f.2d 1021, 1032 (1970).

"when circumstances impose duty to speak and one deliberately remains silent, silence is equivalent to false representation." fisher controls international, inc. v. gibbons, 911 s.w. 2d 135 (1995)

"when a person sustains to another a position of trust and confidence, his failure to disclose facts that he has a duty to disclose is as much a fraud as an actual misrepresentation." blanton v. sherman compress co., 256 s.w. 2d 884 (1953)

silence activates estoppel, pursuant to carmine v. bowen, 64 a. 932.

silence is acquiescence, agreement, dishonor and estoppel. he who does not deny, agrees. speak now or forever hold your peace.

respond to the address below, **exactly as it appears**, with proof of valid claim within thirty days hereof or your claim will be nullified.

master.

c/o 4808 fairmont parkway #434.

at pasadena on texas. -----

no congressional district.

Received by: Title: Date:

MBR

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

----- Original Message -----

On Saturday, November 24, 2018 2:51 PM, MasterBDR <MasterBDR@protonmail.com> wrote:

private
notice to agent is notice to principal. notice to principal is notice to agent. public notice. notice to public.
this is a self executing contract. silence is acquiescence, agreement, dishonor, and estoppel.
speak now or forever hold your peace.

to jeff bezos, the man acting as Chief Executive Officer of and for AMAZON; in private and unlimited capacity.

from the self-aware living soul of god existing within the living flesh and blood man of nature sojourning earth.
hereinafter: me, my, myself, or i.

hello the living being called Erin M. acting as agent of and for Jeff Bezos.

i asked the following question to the chat tech.
"are you absolutely certain i am obligated to pay for things i order on Amazon?"
the chat tech answered yes.

the man, jeff bezos, through his agent, claims he is absolutely certain i am obligated to pay for things i order from amazon.com.

i can not find any positive proof of verified facts of unambiguous evidence i am obligated to pay for things i order of amazon and i believe no such facts of evidence exist.

i require jeff bezos prove his claim or concede his claim is false and waive all immunity and defenses.

1. is jeff bezos absolutely certain that i am obligated to pay for things i order from [amazon.com](#)? **YES or NO?**

Default answer: NO

if jeff bezos is not absolutely certain that i am obligated to pay for things i order from amazon.com and henceforth requires me to pay for things i order from amazon.com, he is knowingly, willfully and intentionally committing fraud and thereby waives all immunity and defenses forever hereafter.

2. does jeff bezos possess personal first-hand knowledge i am obligated to pay for things i order from [amazon.com](#)? **YES or NO?**

DEFAULT ANSWER: NO

if jeff bezos does not possess personal first-hand that i am obligated to pay for things i order from amazon.com and henceforth requires me to pay for things i order from amazon.com, he is knowingly, willfully and intentionally committing fraud and thereby waives all immunity and defenses forever hereafter.

3. does jeff bezos possess any positive proof of verified facts of unambiguous evidence that i am obligated to pay for things i order from [amazon.com](#)? **YES or NO?**

DEFAULT ANSWER: NO

if jeff bezos does not possess positive proof of verified facts of unambiguous evidence that i am obligated to pay for things i order from amazon.com and henceforth requires me to pay for things i order from amazon.com, then he is knowingly, willfully and intentionally committing fraud and thereby waives all immunity and defenses forever hereafter.

i require jeff bezos express his claim in writing with his own wet ink signature and endorsement notarized under penalty of perjury as true, correct, complete, and certain.

if jeff bezos is not willing to express his claim in writing with his own wet in signature and endorsement notarized under penalty of perjury as true, correct, complete, and certain then his claim shall be deemed false and thereby a nullity having no force or effect in any court of law.

any man making a claim has a duty and obligation to prove his claim is true, correct, complete, and certain, not false or misleading and not hearsay.

any man unwilling or unable to prove his claim is knowingly, willfully, and intentionally committing fraud and thereby waives all immunity and defenses heretofore and forever hereafter.

once a fraud always a fraud.

no man can contravene or contradict his own deed. the principal of estoppel by deed.

the claimant is always bound to prove: the burden of proof lies on him.

he who acts through another acts himself, [the acts of an agent are the acts of the principal.]

that which is the principal part of a thing is the thing itself.

no man can give that which he has not (value.)

no one is bound to an impossibility (give value.)

the burden of proof lies upon him who affirms, not on him who denies.

upon the one alleging, not upon him denying, rests the duty of proving.

it is in the nature of things, that he who denies a fact is not bound to prove it.

the presumption is always in favor of the one who denies.

in the law of estoppel, "silence" implies the knowledge and an opportunity to act upon it.

consent makes the law. (a contract is law between the parties agreeing to be bound by it.)

what is proved by the record, ought not to be denied.

what is not proved and what does not exist are the same; it is not a defect of the law, but of proof.

it is the same thing not to be as not to appear. not to appear is the same thing as not to be.

an ambiguous answer is to be taken against (is not to be construed in favor of) him who offers it.

an ambiguous contract is to be interpreted against the seller.

Fraud, in the sense of a court of equity, properly includes all acts, omissions, and concealments which involve a breach of legal or equitable duty, trust, or confidence justly reposed, and are injurious to another, or by which an undue and unconscientious advantage is taken of another. 1 Story, Eq. Jur. § 187.

Once a fraud, always a fraud. It is a fraud to conceal a fraud. fraud lies hid in general expressions.

proofs are to be weighed not numbered; that is, the more worthy or credible are to be believed. [it doesn't matter how many men say something, because the word of god is superior to all. it does not matter how many people believe a lie, it's still a lie. and in a democracy, a lie is the truth].

he does contrary to the law what the law prohibits; he acts in fraud of the law who, the letter of the law being inviolate, uses the law contrary to its intention. Dig. 1, 3, 29

law is established for the benefit of man. the contract makes the law. **Truth, by whomever pronounced, is from God.**

"silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal and moral duty to speak, or where an injury left unanswered would be intentionally misleading." u.s. v. tweel, 550 f.2d 297,299 (1977), quoting u.s. v. prudden, 424 f.2d 1021, 1032 (1970).

"when circumstances impose duty to speak and one deliberately remains silent, silence is equivalent to false representation." fisher controls international, inc. v. gibbons, 911 s.w. 2d 135 (1995)

"when a person sustains to another a position of trust and confidence, his failure to disclose facts that he has a duty to disclose is as much a fraud as an actual misrepresentation." blanton v. sherman compress co., 256 s.w. 2d 884 (1953)

silence activates estoppel, pursuant to carmine v. bowen, 64 a. 932.

silence is tacit acquiescence, agreement, dishonor and estoppel.

he who does not deny, agrees.

speak now or forever hold your peace.

i require jeff bezos express his claim in writing with his own wet ink signature and endorsement notarized under penalty of perjury as true, correct, complete, and certain, supported with positive proof of verified facts of unambiguous evidence before the day one of january, year of our lord two thousand and nineteen, or thereby concede fraud and accept, agree and acknowledge full liability.

i reserve all god given freedom heretofore and forever hereafter surrender none.

true ambassador of god known as: **master**; true living soul

true sovereign man

MBR

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

----- Original Message -----

On Friday, November 23, 2018 1:47 PM, MasterBDR MasterBDR@protonmail.com wrote:

hello Erin M. with [Amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com)'s Executive Customer Relations.
i wish to discuss a recent chat, which i am including as an attachment.
read is and get back with me.

MBR

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

----- Original Message -----

On Friday, November 23, 2018 1:11 PM, [Amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com) Executive Customer Relations ecr-replies@amazon.com wrote:

Good Afternoon,

My name is Erin M. with [Amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com)'s Executive Customer Relations. Jeff Bezos has received your email and I'm responding on his behalf.

Shannon is unfortunately out of the office until Sunday 11/25/18, however I've notified her of your response. I'd be glad to help if you wish not to wait for Shannon. Please respond directly to this email so I can help as best as possible!

I look forward to your response.

Best regards,

Erin M., ECR

Thank you.

[Amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com)